

Challenges for Commercially viable Transparent Conductive Oxide Layers

Arkema Inc. 900 First Ave., King of Prussia, PA 19406 R. Y. Korotkov, L. Fang, P. Ricou, M. Bluhm, J. Coffey, C. Polsz and G. Silverman

Philips Lighting GmbH, Philipstrasse 8, Aachen, Germany 52086 M. Ruske, R, B. Krogmann and H. Schwab

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, WA USA A. B. Padmaperuma, and D. J. Gaspar



This work is partially supported by the Department of Energy Solid State Lighting, Grant No DE-PS26-07NT43131-05.

Presentation Overview:

- Introduction targets
- Homogeneity of DZO films
 - Methodology
 - Electrical properties
 - Depth profiling of the DZO
 - Optical properties
 - Roughness
- Light Out-coupling
 - Undercoat
 - Patterned glass substrate
 - High-Low-High
- OLED devices
- Conclusions



Introduction - targets

<u>Properties</u>	<u>Target</u>	ITO	TOF	ZnO:Dopant
ρ (Ω cm x10 ⁻⁴)	2-6.0	2-3	3.5-5	2.0- 3
Etch Resolution (micron)	5	MN	poor	MN
Surface roughness (nm RMS)	2-10	1-5	4-20	3-6
Maximum peak to valley ($Z_{max,}$ nm)	30-40	30	>50	<mark>30-</mark> 60
ϕ_{B} (eV)	4.5-5	4.7	4.9	4.3-5.1
Transmittance (%)	80-90	> 85	> 80	85-92

Advantages of doped ZnO

Indium-free Superior Economics US Sustainability – not a precious metal dependent on China export policy

High transmission

No blue absorption relative to Indium based TCOs



Introduction - Comparison of common TCOs



- Resistivities of 1.38 to 3.60 x $10^{-4} \Omega$ cm are feasible
- Doped ZnO and ITO showed the lowest resistivity



Homogeneity of DZO - Methodology



- Electron concentration
 - Electron mobility
 - Effective mass
 - RMS
 - Z_{max}



Automated 4-point probe



Electrical Properties - Electron concentration & mobility



- ITO electrical properties strongly depend on annealing conditions
 - As sputtered [Electron] and mobility of 6x10²⁰ cm⁻³ and 15 cm²/Vs
 - [Electron] increases to 2x10²¹ cm⁻³ with annealing
- Thick layers of DZO have similar electrical properties
 - Unintentially doped ZnO mobility = 50-55 cm²/Vs
 - Doping reduces mobility to 12-30 cm²/Vs
 - Electron concentration varies from 0.6 to 1.6 x 10²¹ cm⁻³



Electrical Properties - Resistivity



- Resistivity homogeneity ± 3 % over 6 x 6 inch surface.
 - Temperature gradients likely responsible for higher resistivity at edges
 - Lab coater uses a heating block versus even heat distributed oven
- APCVD deposited DZO resistivity correlates with film thickness
 - This correlation can be minimized by introduction of nucleation layer
 - This correlation can also be minimized by dopant type



Depth Profiling – DZO texture coefficients



- \rightarrow (002) Orientation is predominant at the glass/film interface
- \rightarrow (103) Becomes predominant orientation after 300 nm
- \rightarrow Crystallinity of D₁ZO and D₂ZO is similar



Depth Profiling - Crystallinity of doped ZnO by SAD



- The cross-section SAD show mostly polycrystalline orientation up to 500 nm
- The grains are rotated by 10-15 degrees with respect to each other
- (002), (103), (102) and (101) are found around 500 nm



Depth Profiling - Pole figures for the bulk doped ZnO



- Orientation factors (002) for $D_1ZO = 0.856$; $D_2ZO = 0.872$
 - (103) is $33\pm2^{\circ}$ off the sample normal (002)
 - This angle corresponds to the 31.66° existing between (002) and (103)
 - The broad (103) signal increases towards the surface normal
 - \rightarrow As film thickness increases (103) aligns itself to the normal



Depth Profiling - Crystalline sizes

Polish, #	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
(002), nm <mark>D₂ZO</mark>	67	74	69	72	73	69	63
(103), nm <mark>D₂ZO</mark>	29	32	30	30	30	29	29
Thickness, nm	510	406	380	340	250	196	130
Polish	0	1	2	3	4		
(002), nm <mark>D₁ZO</mark>	33	30	32	27	29		
(103), nm D ₁ ZO	17	16	15	14	14		
Thickness, nm	527	453	407	330	165		

- D₁ZO has 2x smaller grain size relative to D₂ZO
- Grain size decreases towards substrate interface
- Slope of mobility increase toward air:TCO interface
- The average grain size was calculated from grazing angle x-ray patterns (FWHM were corrected for IB):





 $\tau = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta\cos\theta}$

Current probe XY-profiles (ITO)

topography







Current probe XY-profiles (ZnO)





Surface roughness

- Deposition optimization 50 % improvement
- HIL and p-doped layer as planarization tool
- Polishing (below RMS = 1 nm)
- Deposition of oxides as a planarization tool for DZO



#	Z _{max} (nm)	Rq (nm)	Ra (nm)	SR (Ω/sq)	TC thickness (nm)	φ (eV)
1a	278.8	22.7	16.8	5-8	None	5.0
2a	177.7	24.1	17.4	5-8	None	5.0
1b	64.7	9.1	7.4	5-8	10-20	
2b	55	8.3	6.8	5-8	10-20	





Surface Roughness - OLED Process Testing

- 1. 504 hr stability test 60 rh%:80°C both on substrate & metalized
 - D¹ZnO passes in covered areas, but exposed regions have electrical deterioration

 not a knock out
 - D²ZnO passes all around, but is more expensive
- 2. Process compatibility

ZnO substrates	rms
Without cleaning	3.6 - 3.8 nm
Acetone & propanol cleaning (each 5 min. ultrasonic bath)	3.0 - 3.3 nm
Cleaned and fully processed substrate	2.2 - 2.4 nm
Polished TCO and cleaned substrate	1.4 nm



- Cleaning done on- & off-line
- Solvent and surfactant compatibility identified
- Surface roughness decreased on cleaning
- No evidence of etching cleaning surface particles
- Patterning process identified



Optical properties



- Maximum transmittance of doped ZnO (150 nm) plus glass substrate was 91 %
- Transmittance of DZO was slightly better than ITO for the similar thickness thin films (< 200 nm)



Optical properties - N and k as a function of doping



- Dispersion curves for both ZnO and ITO are dependent on doping level
- Dispersion curves were utilized in development of the undercoat



Light Out-coupling - Refractive index matching



- Undercoat technology using APCVD grown layers was developed
- Peak reflected interference fringe for DZO \rightarrow 20% to 13-14%
- Flat transmittance curves with suppressed Fabry-Perrot interference are obtained using undercoats



Light Out-coupling – Approach 1 Undercoat for Optical Extraction

- Optical UC
 - Improves transmission 2-5%
 - Improves EQE 9-11%



Sample	HIL, nm	V	EQE, %	Change, %
DZO	HIL ¹ (30 nm)	3.8	12.0	-
DZO/UC	HIL ¹ (30 nm)	3.8	13.4	11.6
DZO	HIL ² (35 nm)	4.0	12.1	-
DZO/UC	HIL ² (35nm)	4.0	13.2	9.1
ITO	HIL ¹ (30 nm)	3.9	14.8	-
ITO	HIL ² (35 nm)	4.4	15.2	-

* - Blue OLEDs prepared and analyzed at PNNL





Light Out-coupling - Approach 2 GZO on patterned glass substrates



- Average 3 % improvement in overall transmission was observed for patterned substrate backside
- An overall flat transmittance curve was observed for the patterned front side of the substrate



Light Out-coupling Approach 3 Light extraction

High-low-high RI (Nb₂O₅/SiO₂/Nb₂O₅/DZO)

- Enhancement emission amplitude of 2.6x (theory) and
 2.1x (experimental) at 475 nm
- Effect falls off at >10⁰ and very tight process window
- Color shift to deeper Blue









OLED Devices and analyses done at Philips Lighting



OLED Devices- 5x5 mm² device made on 6" substrates

Results comparable to ITO for small pixel size (5mm x 5mm)





OLED Devices and analyses done at Philips Lighting





OLED Devices - scale-up to 30x40 mm²

Results comparable with ITO for larger OLED design



OLED Devices and analyses done at Philips Lighting





OLED Devices - 152x152 mm² full substrate



- Processing is simplified in serial construction
- More work is needed for Grid construction
- Demonstrate DZO can be used as TCO





Conclusions

- APCVD prepared doped ZnO is a viable commercial alternative to ITO

 Demonstrated 5x5, 30x40 and 152x152 mm² devices
- Dopants and process conditions are critical to homogeneity and optoelectronic properties
- Projected cost for DZO are consistent with DOE SSL 5-year plan targets

	Trans. (%)	Ion Migration	SR	W _f (eV)	RMS (Z _{max})	Acid resistance
DZO	>90%	No	17-20	4.8-5.0	4(30)	No
ITO	> 85%	Yes	17-20	4.6-5.0	2(20)	Yes

Disclaimer; The statements, technical information and recommendations contained herein are believed to be accurate as of the date hereof. Since the conditions and methods of use of the information referred to herein are beyond our control, Arkema expressly disclaims any and all liability as to any results obtained or arising from any reliance on such information; NO WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE, WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY, OR ANY OTHER WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, IS MADE CONCERNING THE INFORMATION PROVIDED HEREIN. The user should thoroughly test any application before commercialization. Nothing contained herein constitutes a license to practice under any patent and it should not be construed as an inducement to infringe any patent, and the user is advised to take appropriate steps to be sure that any proposed action will not result in patent infringement. © 2010 Arkema Inc

